

Wind Power Data for Grid Integration Studies

Cameron Potter, 3TIER

Panel on Wind Integration Studies June, 2007 IEEE PES General Meeting, Tampa, Florida, USA

OVERVIEW



- Introduction
- Obtaining wind data for integration studies
 - Pure observations and MCP
 - Data mining
 - Numerical weather simulation
- Converting wind data to power data
 - Manufacturers' rating curves
 - Farm-wide rating curves
 - Assumption of non-correlation
 - Probabilistically modelling power output from an individual turbine

INTRODUCTION



- There are a number of ways of performing integration studies, this presentation does not dwell on the specifics of integration studies.
- Instead, it deals solely with the wind power data that is the fundamental basis of all integration studies.
- There are several ways to obtain wind data and several ways to convert that data into power data, unfortunately neither data acquisition nor conversion is trivial.

OBTAINING WIND DATA



- To obtain sufficient wind data, it is important to first understand what exactly is required:
 - -Temporal resolution,
 - -Spatial resolution and
 - -Quantity of data.
- *Temporal Resolution*: A survey of U.S. integration studies showed that the most common resolution was ten-minute data.
 - ó This data can then be averaged over longer periods to perform load following analysis (e.g. hourly).
 - ó It is also important to ensure that the temporal statistics (such as diurnal behaviour) is accurate.

OBTAINING WIND DATA



- Spatial resolution: Defining the required spatial resolution is slightly more difficult. This can depend largely on the methods used to obtain the wind data and the nature of the study.
- *Quantity of data:* The quantity of data is also difficult to define in a general sense. To be effective it must be at least one year to capture the seasonal effects of demand and wind profiles.
 - ó More than a year is useful and energy constrained systems (such as most hydro-based systems) tend to use more than one year in their integration studies.

OBTAINING WIND DATA



- There are several methods to obtain wind data:
 - Observations
 - Data Mining
 - Numerical Weather Simulations
- The most straightforward and reliable way to obtain wind data is through on-site observations, but are not usually available.
- Data mining is flexible, but its ability to downscale the weather is limited.
- The NWP models use physical conservation of energy equations and this allows more realistic downscaling of the data.

- Converting windspeed data to power data can also be done several ways,
 - Directly aggregated manufacturer's rating curve
 - Empirical farm-wide rating curve
 - Non-correlation of turbines at high temporal sampling
 - Correlated turbines through probabilistic modelling
- Unfortunately, choosing between these options is not straightforward either...

- Simple upscaling of manufacturer's rating curves do not model farm-wide smoothing relationships.
- "Farm-wide" rating curves are developed from empirical data for an *entire* farm and thus are subject to farm specifics (size and layout).
- The assumption of non-correlation is flawed as adjacent turbines *are* correlated - even at the minutes-scale.
- Furthermore, all of these techniques require wind to power conversion to be deterministic...

 Minutes-scale variation of windspeed vs power output at a single turbine



Conclusion: The conversion of windspeed to power output is not deterministic (at this timescale).

- One alternative is to use probabilistic modelling.
- This paper introduces *SCORE*, the Statistical Correction to Output from a Record Extension.
- SCORE is built on the concept that accurately modelling an individual turbine will result in accurately modelling the entire wind farm.
- However, it is important to note that SCORE is designed to operate in a *probabilistic* manner and so it may not be right at any given moment, but it should provide *statistically* correct data.

SCORE FLOW DIAGRAM





DEVELOPING SCORE



- The PDFs are used to "correct" the power output at each individual turbine.
- Treating the turbines individually may seem like treating them as though they are uncorrelated...
- But:
 - The probabilistic model provides a difference value from the theoretical output, meaning the turbines are clearly still correlated.
 - The models are based on NWP-size area-averaged windspeed and thus accounts for turbine spatial correlations directly.





Example PDFs from ten turbines at a few different farms - showing consistency of behaviour.



Normalised difference from manufacturer's rated output





• Example trace contrasting partial farm data versus entire farm data at Aubrey Cliffs.





- Public validation of SCORE is difficult as it needs accurate, publicly available, turbine data over a representative period.
- However, the following slides present qualitative validation results (which is reasonable as it is just a probabilistic model anyway).
- The graphics will be based on State Transition Matrices (STMs) showing the probability of changing from one state to another (i.e. 0-10% to 40-50%).









Representation of SCORE-Based State Transition Matrix



Representation of Windspeed Observation-Based State Transition Matrix



Representation of Windspeed Modelling-Based State Transition Matrix







CONCLUSION



- Appropriate data is key to integration studies.
- Obtaining appropriate wind data is not trivial although the best option is to use a NWP model.
- Converting wind to power data is also not trivial.
- To this end the SCORE methodology was described which can statistically "correct" the data to behave similarly to a real wind project.
- SCORE has now been used to model over 6500MW of potential wind installation for integration studies.