Risk-limiting dispatch for the smart grid: some research problems

Janusz Bialek, University of Edinburgh Pravin Varaiya, University of California, Berkeley Felix Wu, University of Hong Kong

Outline

- 1. Current system operations: worst-case dispatch
- 2. Future electric power system: smart grid
- 3. Renewables and demand response increase uncertainty
- 4. Smart grid increases information and control
- 5. Risk-limiting dispatch
- 6. Preserving the interface: bundling unreliable supply/demand
- 7. Preserving the interface: unreliable energy transactions



- ISO schedules generators/loads
 Uncertainty
 s.t. constraints are met:
 Peak load
 - Power balance
 - Operating limits
 - (N-1) contingencies
- Objective
 - Min cost
 - s.t. constraints

- Peak load demand
- Forced outage (fault)
- Recourse
 - Balance
- Emergency
 - Load shedding

Operating risk and worst-case dispatch

- Operating risk
 Not meeting constraints
- Constraints
 - Power balance
 - $g(\mathbf{x}(t), u) = 0$
 - Operating limits $h(\mathbf{x}(t), u) \le 0$
- *u* is such that for each contingency *x*(*t*) is stable

- Stochastic uncertainty
 Outage, peak demand
- (N-1) contingencies
- Dispatch reliable power $\sum S_i \ge (1+r)D$
 - $r \sim 0.05 = \text{reserve margin}$
 - D = peak demand
- Reserve margin increases capacity cost and carbon emissions

Future electric power system

- Renewables
 - Wind
 - Solar
 - Storage
 - microgrid

- Smart grid infrastructure
 - Smart meters, sensors
 - Intelligent appliances
 - Communication
 - Demand response



Renewable generation increases uncertainty



• Generation availability

 $S(p) = \max\{x \mid P(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} S_i \ge x) \ge p\}$

power supply exceeds S(p) for p % hours/year

- Rated capacity = 1500KW
- Average capacity = 700KW
- Reliable capacity = 150KW (reliable capacity ~ thermal)

Wind power and worst-case dispatch day ahead market balancing market scheduling operating time emergency



- Rated capacity = M
- Reliable capacity = 0.1-0.2M
- If M is scheduled need reserve capacity of 0.8M
- If 0.2M is scheduled it should displace 0.8M of thermal power, which becomes reserve



Source: Y. Makarov and D. Hawkins, CALISO

California example2/2

January 6, 2005 California Wind Generation

TOTAL -Load, MW



Source: Y. Makarov and D. Hawkins, CALISO

Demand response increases uncertainty



• Demand response

$$\begin{split} D(h) &= \min\{x \mid \mu(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\Delta_i \leq x) \geq h\} \\ \text{Demand reduced by } |D(h)| \text{ for at least } h \text{ hours/year} \\ D(80) &= -300 \text{W} \end{split}$$

 Worse-case dispatch cannot use demand response to reduce reserves

Case against worst-case dispatch

- Worst-case dispatch designed for system with reliable power transactions in which
 - Generators have 0-1 failure characteristic
 - Short-term peak demand is predictable
 - Information is scarce and decisions cannot be refined
- Wind power generation and demand response are highly uncertain
- Consequently, renewable generation and demand response are unfairly treated by worst-case dispatch

Greater information and control

- More accurate information
 - Smart meters, sensors
- More refined control
 - Intelligent appliances
 - Demand response
- Tighter feedback
 - Communication
- Enable risk-limiting (vs. worst-case) dispatch

Risk-limiting dispatch: scheduling



- Scheduling
 - Decision u_{σ} : Generation/demand
 - Max objective such that the risk of not meeting operating constraints is less than $(1-p^*)$ based on information $y_{t-T_{\sigma}}$ at scheduling time

 $\min \operatorname{E}(\operatorname{cost}) \\ \Pr\{g(x(t), u_{\sigma}) = 0, h(x(t), u_{\sigma}) \le 0 | y_{t-T_{\sigma}}\} \ge p^*$

Risk-limiting dispatch: recourse



- Recourse
 - Decision u_{ρ} : Generation, demand response - Max objective such that the risk of not meeting operating constraints is less than (1-*p**) based on information $y_{t-T_{\rho}}$ at recourse time

 $\min \operatorname{E}(\operatorname{cost}) \\ \Pr\{g(x(t), u_{\sigma}, u_{\rho}) = 0, h(x(t), u_{\sigma}, u_{\rho}) \le 0 | y_{t-T_{\rho}}\} \ge p^*$

Risk-limiting dispatch: emergency



• Emergency

- Decision u_{ε} : Generation, interruptible load - operating constraints must be satisfied based on information $y_{t-T_{\varepsilon}}$ at emergency time

 $\min \mathbf{E}(\operatorname{cost}) \\ \Pr\{g(x(t), u_{\sigma}, u_{\rho}, u_{\epsilon}) = 0, h(x(t), u_{\sigma}, u_{\rho}, u_{\epsilon}) \le 0 | y_{t-T_{\rho}}\} = 1$

Risk-limiting dispatch: summary



• Optimization for system operation:

 $\min Ef(x(t), u_{\sigma}, u_{\rho}, u_{\epsilon})$

$$P\{g(x(t), u_{\sigma}) = 0, \qquad h(x(t), u_{\sigma}) \le 0 \qquad |y_{t-T_{\sigma}}\} \ge p^{*} \\ P\{g(x(t), u_{\sigma}, u_{\rho}) = 0, \qquad h(x(t), u_{\sigma}, u_{\rho}) \le 0 \qquad |y_{t-T_{\rho}}\} \ge p^{*} \\ P\{g(x(t), u_{\sigma}, u_{\rho}, u_{\epsilon}) = 0, \qquad h(x(t), u_{\sigma}, u_{\rho}, u_{\epsilon}) \le 0 \qquad |y_{t-T_{\epsilon}}\} = 1$$

• Impossible stochastic sequential dispatch problem

* See: Bouffard and Galliana, *Trans Power Syst*, 2008; Morales et al. *Trans Power Syst*, 2009



Comparisons $c_1 < c_2$



Worst-case dispatch Revenue = $c_1 \quad 0.2M$ Reliable-equivalent dispatch Net revenue = 1/2 c_1^2/c_2^2 M

PIRP dispatch

Revenue = 1/2 c_1 MSubsidy = 1/2 c_1 0.8M

Interruptible power transactions

- Interruptible power contracts parameterized by (c, ρ) with per MW price c delivers power with probability ρ, and no power with probability (1- ρ)
- Suppose market creates contracts $(c_1, \rho_1), \dots, (c_k, \rho_k)$ with $1 = \rho_1 > \dots > \rho_k$ and $c_1 > \dots > c_k$
- Note ρ_1 is reliable power
- How will wind generator react? Take k = 4



- At scheduling time, supplier sells w_i units of ρ_i reliability power
- Assume availability state s_i is known at recourse time
- At time *t* deliver contracts $\rho_1 \dots \rho_i$
- Revenue of $\sum c_i w_i$ with no subsidy



How will customers behave

- Recall that less reliable power is cheaper: $c_1 > \ldots > c_k$
- Customers may select bundle to

Max
$$\sum_{i} \left[\rho_{i} U(D_{i}) - (1 - \rho_{i}) L(D_{i}) - c_{i} D_{i} \right]$$

- U(D) is utility of consuming D
- -L(D) is loss of not consuming D

Incremental deployment



• Permit interruptible power in distribution system and reliable power in bulk power system

Conclusion

- Federal programs are deploying smart grid elements on large scale
- Current practice of worst-case dispatch requires subsidies for renewable sources and demand response
- More accurate and timely information and more refined control suggest shift to risk-limiting dispatch, which does not require subsidies
- Risk-limiting dispatch can be introduced incrementally in distribution system