

Federal
Smart Grid
Task Force

VOLUNTARY CODE OF CONDUCT: MULTISTAKEHOLDER INITIATIVE

Final Webinar
December 11, 2014
1:00 pm Eastern



VCC IMPLEMENTATION

Lincoln Wood, Southern Company

Thank you.....

Name	Organization
Adam Block	Simple Energy
Christopher Villarreal	California Public Utilities Commission
Amanda Stallings	Ohio Public Utilities Commissions
Aryeh Fishman	Edison Electric Institute
Eric Ackerman	Edison Electric Institute
Brandon Robinson	Balch & Bingham, LLP
Kelly McCanlies	Hawaiian Electric
Anan Sokker	Florida Power & Light
Jonathan Booe	North America Energy Standards Board
Dan Francis	American Electric Power
Lauren James	American Electric Power
Tanya Burns	Energetics
Mauricio Paez	Jones Day
Mary Ann Ralls	National Rural Electric Cooperative Association

VCC Adoption Blueprint

- Service Providers choosing to adopt the VCC are expected to publicly commit to conformance with and observance of the VCC
 - ▣ Download finalized VCC from www.smartgrid.gov/privacy for internal review and consideration
 - ▣ If/when a Service Provider has determined conformance with the VCC and ready to adopt, complete and sign Adoption Statement and submit to DOE
 - ▣ DOE will publish and maintain list of adopting service providers
 - Once the Adoption Statement is signed, a Service Provider may utilize VCC materials to shape its own communications, such as VCC logo, talking points, press release templates, etc...)

VCC Revision Blueprint

- DOE will coordinate a VCC Revisions Working Team (RWT) that will:
 - ▣ Review the VCC for updates and revisions every two years
 - ▣ Be comprised of volunteers, with best efforts made for a diverse set of stakeholders
 - Utilities of all sizes
 - Privacy advocates
 - Consumer advocates
 - Regulators
 - Third parties
 - ▣ The initial RWT, once formed, will discuss and decide issues regarding governance, bylaws, term lengths, etc

VCC Revision Criteria

- The following common criteria are suggested as use cases for triggering a VCC Revision:
 - ▣ Changes in technology and innovation that might render portions of the VCC obsolete or outdated, or that present risks that the current version does not address, but should
 - ▣ Widespread changes in regulations or other requirements that may conflict with the VCC
 - ▣ Previously unknown data vulnerability not addressed elsewhere in the VCC

Urgent VCC Revisions

- The RWT may assess suggested revisions prior to the two-year deadline via a majority vote on a case-by-case basis
 - ▣ For example, if a legal or regulatory change of national scope goes into effect prior to the two-year deadline, an urgent review may be needed
 - ▣ Legal, regulatory, or technical changes affecting a single Service Provider or small set of Service Providers that do not necessarily conflict with the language of the VCC, may not require an urgent determination
 - ▣ Local or individual impacts may necessitate a conversion to partial adoption, but not necessarily warrant a wholesale revision to the VCC outside of the two-year schedule

VCC Revision Process

- The revision process will use a consensus-based approach similar to the approach used in initial VCC development, including broad stakeholder input
- Smaller task forces may be used to draft language or revisions needed, and presented to the larger volunteer group to ratify
- After consensus is reached, current adopters of the VCC will have one year to determine the impacts of the revised VCC

VCC Opt-Out

- An adopting Service Provider may opt out of the revised VCC by communicating such intent and effective date of its opt out to DOE
 - ▣ If opting out, the Service Provider must remove all VCC logos from its communication by the opt-out effective date included in notification to DOE